U.S. GODAE: Global Ocean Prediction with the Hybrid Coordinate Ocean Model (HYCOM)

Community Effort: NRL, U. of Miami, Los Alamos, NOAA/NCEP, NOAA/AOML, NOAA/PMEL, PSI, FNMOC, NAVOCEANO, SHOM, LEGI, OPeNDAP, UNC, Rutgers, USF, Fugro-GEOS, Orbimage, Shell, ExxonMobil

Objectives and Goals

• A broad partnership of institutions that will collaborate in developing and demonstrating the performance and application of eddyresolving, real-time global and basin-scale ocean prediction systems using HYCOM • To be transitioned for operational use by the U.S. Navy at NAVOCEANO and FNMOC and by **NOAA** at NCEP

Opportunities

- NOAA/Navy collaboration and cooperation ranging from research to the operational level
- Global model outputs available to the community at large
- Strong participation of the coastal ocean modeling community in using and evaluating boundary conditions from the global and basin-scale ocean modeling prediction systems

 HYCOM is the result of a very effective collaboration between the U. of Miami, NRL/Stennis, and the Los Alamos National Laboratory.

 HYCOM has been configured globally (up to 1/4° ~30km mid-latitude resolution) and basin-scale (up to 1/12° ~7km midlatitude resolution)

HYCOM 2.1

(collaboration between the U. of Miami, NRL, and LANL) http://hycom.rsmas.miami.edu

- Halos for MPI to automatically support periodic boundaries
- Support nested-domain open boundaries
- Fully global (Pan-Am grid)
- Alternative mixed layer models
- Orthogonal curvilinear grids
- Passive tracers, floats
- NPZD model
- NetCDF output files
- User's manual and guide available

HYCOM 2.2 to be released in Fall 2004 – new features include alternate advection schemes, new diagnostics,...

The capability of assigning additional coordinate surfaces to the oceanic mixed layer allows for sophisticated closure schemes in HYCOM:

- Continuous Vertical Mixing Models (surface to bottom)
 - K-Profile Parameterization, i.e., KPP (default)
 - Mellor-Yamada level 2.5 turbulence closure
 - Canuto/GISS level 2 turbulence closure
- Slab Mixed Layer Models
 - Kraus-Turner
 - Price-Weller-Pinkel dynamical instability model

 Compared in low-resolution climatological Atlantic simulations [Halliwell, 2004, available on HYCOM web site]

February Mixed Layer Thickness

- Domain

- Atlantic Ocean, 20°S to 62°N
- 2° horizontal spacing
- 22 σ₂ vertical coordinates

Forcing

- Climatological annual cycle forcing derived from COADS
- 20-year spinup from Levitus climatology
 One-year analysis







Surface Ekman Layer (Winter)

TOP: Westerlies Bottom: Trade Winds

Left: KPP Right: Mellor-Yamada

180

210

240



0.02

270

0

330

300





270

300

240



Vertical Mixing Scheme Evaluation Summary

- The largest observed differences among the vertical mixing choices result from:
 - Penetrating shortwave radiation
 - Shear instability mixing below the mixed layer
- KPP mixing chosen as the default mixing scheme

 Parameterizes more physical processes than other
 schemes
 - Performed well in tests

 Other mixing algorithms allow one to determine the sensitivity of scientific results to vertical mixing

Effects of the entrainment closure on the mixed layer response during a hurricane



Data Assimilation

- Several techniques are either in place or under development
- Vary in sophistication and computational requirements
- Both the SEEK (Single Evolutive Extended Kalman) filter and ROIF (Reduced Order Information Filter) are being evaluated. The SEEK filter has been implemented in the 1/3° Atlantic configuration and will be evaluated in the 1/12° configuration this summer.

Configuration of the Prediction Systems

Basin-scale (NRL/Miami and NOAA)



Grid Spacing (km). Grid Size [1604X1616] 40 20 íð. Û -20 -120 -100 -80 -60 -40 -20 n 20 60

Longitude

14

12

10

8

6

PRESENT SYSTEM

A near real-time nowcast/forecast system with the 1/12° Atlantic model

. Assimilates the satellite altimeter analysis from the MODAS operational system at NAVOCEANO

- . Mean SSH from the 1/12° MICOM (ECMWF)
- . Vertical projection via the Cooper and Haines technique (1996, JGR)

. Relaxation to the MODAS SST analysis

 Automated scripts to run the system from the preprocessing of the forcing fields to the post processing of the results

http://hycom.rsmas.miami.edu

SSH in Gulf Stream region 1/12° HYCOM SSH nowcast (9.1) 20030602



White/black line is the frontal analysis of MCSST observations performed at NAVOCEANO. Black line represents data more than four days old.



1/12° Atlantic HYCOM SSH mean and RMS in the Gulf Stream region



Black line is the mean and standard deviation of the frontal position determined from SST observations



Yucatan Channel Normal Velocity

1/12° ATL HYCOM 1-Year Mean

Observed Mean 8/1999-6/2000 (Abascal et. al, 2003)

1.5

-0.5

0

-0.5

-1

-1.5

85°00'

m/s



1/12° Atlantic HYCOM



Deep Western Boundary Current

Comparison to vertical profiles

ARGO profiles (T(z) & S(z)) (weekly)
PIRATA buoys (weekly)
MEDS data (monthly)
Statistics in different regions of the Atlantic domain



ARGO profiles http://w3.jcommops.org/cgi-bin/WebObjects/Argo

15 January 2004 5.399°S, 6.924°W



25 March 2004 4.207°S, 7.641°W





PIRATA BUOYS 13 April 2004 15°N 28°W 6°S 10°W





Statistics of PIRATA profiles May 2003 – May 2004

2003.05-2004.05 Atlantic Pirata BTs





ARGO profiles













MEDS BT positions December 2003

1604 MEDS BTs Dec. 2003 1/12[°] HYCOM SST 20031215 nowcast (9.1)



MEDS BT sections





Profile BTs statistics April 2004





- <u>New 5m coastline</u>
- Upgrade assimilation
 - MVOI (Multi-Variate Optimal Interpolation) with assimilation of vertical profiles
 - SEEK (Singular Evolutive Extended Kalman filter)
 - ROIF (Reduced Order Information Filter)

Mean SSH Bias

 Compare several model mean and climatological sea surface heights (13 different means to date)

 Combine satellite altimeter and XBT data along the satellite tracks

 Determine the "best" mean to be used in the assimilation





Northwestern Atlantic Results

Table 1: NWA MDT Analysis [B]

Grid Name	AVG AbsDev [cm]
GBL NLOM RS $1/16^{\circ}$	5.9357
ATL Niiler $1/2^{\circ}$	6.5357
GBL Niiler $1/2^{\circ}$	6.7500
GBL MODAS $1/8^{\circ}$	7.1500
GBL NLOM RS $1/32^{\circ}$	7.5000
ATL MICOM ECMWF $1/12^{\circ}$	7.7571
GBL RIO 1°	7.7714
ATL RIO 1°	8.7929
NWA Kelly 1°	8.8143
GBL NLOM $1/32^{\circ}$	9.2857
ATL NLOM 1/64°	9.8000
GBL NLOM $1/16^{\circ}$	9.9357
ATL MICOM COADS $1/12^{\circ}$	12.0571
ATL HYCOM 1/12°	12.9857
ATL HYCOM 1/3°	16.1071

Pacific HYCOM Model Configuration

 Horizontal grid: 1/12° equatorial resolution (2294 x 1362 grid points, 6.5 km spacing on average)

- 20°S to 65.8°N
- 20 vertical coordinates
- KPP mixed layer model
- Surface forcing: (wind stress, wind speed, thermal forcing, precipitation, relaxation to climatological SSS)
- Monthly river runoff (254 rivers)
- Buffer zone: ~3° band along southern and eastern boundary with relaxation to monthly climatological (GDEM3) T and S

 Closed boundaries along 20°S, in the Indonesian throughflow region and in the Bering Strait

1/12° Pacific HYCOM Basin-scale Circulation SSH Snapshot – 2 January 1991



Nesting strategy: 1-day updating in the buffer zone at the boundaries using T, S, P, u and v from the Pacific model

1/12° Pacific HYCOM

Zoom on the Kuroshio SSH and SST Snapshot – 21 March



Forced with high frequency climatological ECMWF winds and thermal forcing

South China Sea Warm Current (SCSWC) Feeding the Taiwan Strait

SCSWC flows counter to the prevailing monsoon winds Mean Zonal Velocity vs. Depth (top 500m) Along 117°E





1991-2000 mean shipboard ADCP data from Liang et al. (2003, DSR Pt. II) 6 year mean from HYCOM forced with high-frequency ECMWF winds and thermal forcing No ocean data assimilation in HYCOM

Velocity Cross-sections East of Taiwan Mean ADCP data (top) vs. 1/12° Pacific HYCOM (bottom) in the upper 300 m Sections at 22°N, 23°N, 24°N and 25°N



1991-2000 mean shipboard ADCP data from Liang et al. (2003, DSR Pt. II) 6 year mean from HYCOM forced with high-frequency ECMWF winds and thermal forcing No ocean data assimilation in HYCOM

Note how the two-core Kuroshio merges into a single jet in both the observations and HYCOM from the south to north along the Taiwan coast

1/12° Pacific HYCOM Basin-scale Circulation SSH Snapshot – 21 March



Forced with high frequency climatological ECMWF winds and thermal forcing

Velocity Cross-section Along the Equator Observations (left) vs. 1/12° Pacific HYCOM (middle, right) in the upper 400 m

Section between 143°E and 95°W



Observations based on CTD/ADCP data from Johnson et al. (2002, Prog. Oceanogr.) HYCOM forced with ECMWF (middle) or Hellerman and Rosenstein (right) winds No ocean data assimilation in HYCOM

Velocity Cross-section Across the Equator at 140°W Observations (left) vs. 1/12° Pacific HYCOM (middle, right) in the upper 400 m Section between 8°S and 10°N



Observations based on CTD/ADCP data from Johnson et al. (2002, Prog. Oceanogr.) HYCOM forced with ECMWF (middle) or Hellerman and Rosenstein (right) winds No ocean data assimilation in HYCOM

Disappearance of the Equatorial Undercurrent During the 1982-83 El Niño Zonal velocity on the Equator at 159°W 1/12° Pacific HYCOM

Adapted from Firing at al. (1983)



Yellow/red = eastward flow, blue = westward flow HYCOM forced with interannual ECMWF winds and heat fluxes No oceanic data assimilation

Configuration of the Prediction Systems

Global Sea Ice Options Energy loan 4-layer thermodynamic (Russel et al., 2000) Los Alamos CICE - Target 1/12° for NAVOCEANO 1/4° (~20 km) for FNMOC (ocean component of coupled ocean-atmosphere)



Monthly Sea Ice Coverage (NH)



Monthly Sea Ice Coverage (SH)



Product Evaluation

- Assessment of the outputs by comparison to independent observations
- Strong involvement of coastal ocean modeling groups to use and evaluate boundary conditions provided by the global and basin HYCOM real time prediction system outputs

Regional Model around Florida Bay





HYCOM Response to Hurricane Juliette SSH anomaly – 29 September 2001 00Z

1/12.5° PAC

1/37.5° GOC

1/37.5° GOC



1.0° NOGAPS wind forcing

1.0° NOGAPS wind forcing

27 km COAMPS wind forcing

No ocean data have been assimilated into the models Hurricane position at 24-hr intervals through 29 September

1/12° Pacific HYCOM – 6-hourly NOGAPS



Impact of Hurricane Julliette

SST



SSH

Observed Versus Modeled Sea Level Anomaly Along the Mexican Coast Associated With the Coastally Trapped Waves (CTW) Generated by Hurricane Juliette: 20 Sept- 10 Oct 2001

Tide gauge data 1/12° Pacific - NOGAPS 1/37.5° GOC – NOGAPS 1/37.5° GOC – COAMPS



No ocean data were assimilated into the models. De-tided tide gauge data were provided by the University of Hawaii and the Secretaría de Marina de México (Mexican Navy). A 1-day running mean filter was applied to all time series.

1/12° Pacific HYCOM Basin-scale Circulation with nested US West Coast HYCOM



Forced with high frequency ECMWF winds and thermal forcing SSH Snapshot – 21 March

1/12° Pacific HYCOM Basin-scale Circulation with nested US West Coast HYCOM



Nested HYCOM uses same resolution and forcing as Pacific HYCOM to test bc. Simulation begins on January 1, 2001; no data assimilation is included.

Physical-Biogeochemical Model: Fei Chai

Air-Sea Exchange



Model Outputs

- Are available to the community at large within 24 hours via ftp and the Miami Live Access Server (LAS)
- Strong collaboration with NOAA/PMEL (S. Hankin) and OPeNDAP (P. Cornillon) to enhance the LAS and to provide an efficient distribution of the model outputs
- Comparison with other GODAE products (i.e. MERSEA collaboration)

http://hycom.rsmas.miami.edu