



NWP
SAF

Product verification

Ad Stoffelen
Marcos Portabella (CSIC)
Anton Verhoef
Jeroen Verspeek
Jur Vogelzang
Maria Belmonte

scat@knmi.nl



OVWST, 18-20/05/'09




Quality Guidance

NWP
SAF

- Several products exist; how to guide our users ?
- How to trade off processing options ?
- Two main issues:
 - Sampling; not all sets have the same QC / coverage
 - Representativeness error, or, how smooth can an application accept the product to be
- Elaborated 2 tests for product comparison:
 - Dual product collocation with a representative set of buoy data (kindly provided by ECMWF), or NWP data
 - Spectral analysis (discussed at last OVWST with Ernesto)



Buoy verification




SeaWinds 25-km product	# wind vectors	speed bias	stdev <i>u</i>	stdev <i>v</i>
NOAA product, including outer swath	3845	0.25	2.54	2.51
NOAA product , no outer swath data	3276	0.20	2.47	2.18
OSI SAF , no outer swath data	3061	-0.48	1.79	1.88
NOAA product , collocated OSI SAF	2954	0.15	2.19	1.99
OSI SAF , collocated with NOAA product	2954	-0.49	1.76	1.83

- Outer swath winds appear degraded in NOAA product
- OSI SAF winds verify better with buoys than NOAA does (in RMS)
- OSI SAF wind is biased low
- OSI SAF collocation much helps NOAA wind SD and bias (rain)
- NOAA QC has modest impact on OSI SAF product



Buoy verification




SeaWinds 25-km product	# wind vectors	speed bias	stdev <i>u</i>	stdev <i>v</i>
New NOAA, including outer swath	4023	0.09	2.54	2.33
New NOAA, no outer swath data	3342	0.10	2.57	2.24
OSI SAF*, including outer swath data	3756	-0.49	1.84	1.95
OSI SAF*, no outer swath data	3033	-0.46	1.85	1.93
OSI SAF, collocated with OSI SAF*	2926	-0.48	1.78	1.88
OSI SAF*, collocated with OSI SAF	2926	-0.48	1.78	1.87

- New NOAA product less QC and higher wind SD, bias slightly reduced
- OSI SAF wind is slightly degraded on basis of new NOAA, due to QC
- Outer swath similar quality as inner swath, due to 4 noise values



Buoy verification





OSI SAF 100-km product	# wind vectors	speed bias	stdev <i>u</i>	stdev <i>v</i>
no MSS used	3156	-0.21	2.16	2.06
MSS used	3155	-0.25	2.03	2.06
MSS* , no outer swath data	3163	-0.23	2.11	2.07
MSS* , outer swath data	3925	-0.25	2.09	2.12
MSS collocated with MSS*	3038	-0.25	2.01	2.04
MSS* collocated with MSS	3038	-0.25	2.04	2.03

- MSS beneficial at 100 km (nadir)
- OSI SAF wind is slightly degraded on basis of new NOAA, due to QC
- Outer swath similar quality to inner swath, due to 4 noise values



Bias due to σ^0 averaging

NWP
SAF




- σ^0 distribution is steep for low values; a low value at a 25-km WVC most likely has a neighbour WVC σ^0 value that is higher; this removes low (extreme) values when averaging to 100 km
- The wind vector distribution is flat for low values; a low 25-km WVC most likely has similarly low WVC neighbour amplitudes at varying direction; more low wind vector amplitudes are expected at 100 km
- 25-km GMF will not provide good 100-km winds !
- We verified that noisier ($>Kp$) σ^0 data indeed provide speed bias as well




FFT detrending methods

NWP
SAF




- Over a few 1000 km the wind vector generally changes
- FFTs assume infinite periodic continuation of the series
- A step function between the last and first point of the series adds small-scale FFT noise
- This is aliasing of variance on scales beyond the FFT domain on the tail's spectrum



Detrending

NWP
SAF


- A trend FFTs to k^{-2}
- Only first difference and matching first and last point by linear transform remove the large-scale aliasing on the FFT tail



SDP @ 25 (MSS)

- SeaWinds contains small scales down to 50 km
- Smooth decay, same for u and v
- Indication of noise floor, probably due to rain
- Similar to NOAA products
- $k^{-2.1}$

NWP
SAF




AWDP @ 25

- Same period as SDP
- ASCAT contains more variance below 1000 km
- Less of a floor than SDP
- Small bump at 150-km scale in u
- $k^{-2.0}$

OVWST, 18-20/05/'09


NWP
SAF



AWDP @ 12.5

- ASCAT contains small scales down to 25 km
- No noise floor
- Extended bump in u at 100-km scale
- $k^{-1.9}$


OVWST, 18-20/05/09



Box AWDP @ 12.5

- Box averaging maintains more tail variance
- No apparent noise floor
- Buoy verification confirms this; see later presentation
- Still u bump, but at lower wavelength (?)
- $k^{-1.8}$, pretty close to -1.67 for 3D turbulence

Nastrom and Gage 1987



MSS ASCAT@12.5

- MSS smooths ASCAT box product
- Verification of MSS with buoys to be done
- 2DVAR spatial filter functions are being investigated with FFT approach



Conclusions

NWP
SAF

- Dual product collocation with buoys reveals clear relative quality characteristics
 - The SDP@25km product verifies better than NOAA
 - SDP@25km is about 0.4 m/s lower than ASCAT (0.5 m/s w.r.t. buoys)
 - NOAA rejects fewer WVCs than SDP, but accepted points do not verify well
 - SDP winds based on the new NOAA SeaWinds BUFR verify slightly worse, but provide good quality outer swath winds with SDP
 - NOAA outer swath winds are clearly degraded
 - MSS in SDP@100km OSI SAF notably reduces the wind component RMS with respect to buoy data
 - Wind-speed dependent bias correction for the products is ongoing
- FFT tool is applied to further quantify product characteristics
 - ASCAT contains more small-scale variance than SeaWinds products
 - ASCAT winds based on 12.5-km Box-averaged σ^0 product contains most variance
 - SeaWinds products show noise floor
 - ASCAT winds show bump in u component, not explained entirely by Hamming filter
- Work in progress



NWP
SAF



www.knmi.nl/scatterometer


OVWST, 18-20/05/'09




Buoy and NWP verification **NWP** **SAF**

- ASCAT 25 compares best to buoys;
ASCAT 25 compares best to ECMWF as well
- SeaWinds 25 is slightly noisier than ASCAT 25;
SeaWinds 100 compares much better to ECMWF winds than SeaWinds 25
- Low-res products good for global NWP; **Hi-res for ocean applications and nowcasting**

ASCAT 25		SeaWinds 25		SeaWinds 100	
SD u [m/s]	SD v [m/s]	SD u [m/s]	SD v [m/s]	SD u [m/s]	SD v [m/s]
1.76	1.79	1.84	1.83	2.19	2.00





NWP
SAF



Experimental 12.5-km product

➤ See
yesterday's
talk



QuikSCAT vs ECMWF

NWP
SAF




OVWST, 18-20/05/'09




ASCAT vs ECMWF


NWP
SAF




N=2305231
mx= 7.59 my= 7.55
m(y-x)= -0.05 s(y-x)= 1.28
cor_xy= 0.94



N=1965456
mx= 177.57 my= 177.86
m(y-x)= 0.29 s(y-x)= 15.75
cor_xy= 0.99



N=2305231
mx= 0.23 my= 0.11
m(y-x)= -0.12 s(y-x)= 1.52
cor_xy= 0.97



N=2305231
mx= 0.75 my= 0.72
m(y-x)= -0.03 s(y-x)= 1.62
cor_xy= 0.96

OVWST, 18-20/05/'09