

## KPP Vertical Mixing

### 1. Diapycnal Diffusivity in the Ocean Interior

The K-Profile Parameterization (KPP) model is described in detail in Large *et al.* (1994). To summarize the implementation of KPP mixing in HYCOM, model variables are first decomposed into mean (denoted by an overbar) and turbulent (denoted by a prime) components. Diapycnal diffusivities and viscosity parameterized in the ocean interior as follows:

$$\overline{w'q'} = -\mathbf{n}_q \frac{\partial \bar{q}}{\partial z}, \quad \overline{w'S'} = -\mathbf{n}_s \frac{\partial \bar{S}}{\partial z}, \quad \overline{w'v'} = -\mathbf{n}_m \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial z}, \quad (1)$$

where  $(\mathbf{n}_q, \mathbf{n}_s, \mathbf{n}_m)$  are the interior diffusivities of potential temperature, salinity (which includes other scalars), and momentum (viscosity), respectively. Interior diffusivity/viscosity is assumed to consist of three components, which is illustrated here for potential temperature:

$$\mathbf{n}_q = \mathbf{n}_q^s + \mathbf{n}_q^w + \mathbf{n}_q^d, \quad (2)$$

where  $\mathbf{n}_q^s$  is the contribution of resolved shear instability,  $\mathbf{n}_q^w$  is the contribution of unresolved shear instability due to the background internal wave field, and  $\mathbf{n}_q^d$  is the contribution of double diffusion. Only the first two processes contribute to viscosity.

The contribution of shear instability is parameterized in terms of the gradient Richardson number calculated at model interfaces:

$$Ri_g = \frac{N^2}{\left(\frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial z}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial z}\right)^2}, \quad (3)$$

where mixing is triggered when  $Ri_g = Ri_0 < 0.7$ . Vertical derivatives are estimated at model interfaces as follows: Given model layer  $k$  bounded by interfaces  $k$  and  $k+1$ , the vertical derivative of  $\bar{u}$  at interface  $k$  is estimated as

$$\frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial z} = \frac{\bar{u}^{k-1} - \bar{u}^k}{0.5 * (h^k + h^{k-1})} \quad (4)$$

where the denominator contains the thickness of layers  $k$  and  $k-1$ . The contribution of shear instability is the same for  $q$  diffusivity,  $S$  diffusivity, and viscosity ( $\mathbf{n}^s = \mathbf{n}_q^s = \mathbf{n}_s^s = \mathbf{n}_m^s$ ), and is given by

$$\frac{\mathbf{u}^s}{\mathbf{u}^0} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{\mathbf{n}^s}{\mathbf{n}^0} = 1 & Ri_g < 0 \\ \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{Ri_g}{Ri_0} \right)^2 \right]^P & 0 < Ri_g < Ri_0 \\ \frac{\mathbf{n}^s}{\mathbf{n}^0} = 0 & Ri_g > Ri_0 \end{array} \right\}, \quad (5)$$

where  $\mathbf{n}^0 = 50 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ,  $Ri_0 = 0.7$ , and  $P = 3$ .

The diffusivity that results from unresolved background internal wave shear is given by

$$\mathbf{n}_q^w = \mathbf{n}_s^w = 0.1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}. \quad (6)$$

Based on the analysis of Peters *et al.* (1988), Large *et al.* (1994) determined that viscosity should be an order of magnitude larger:

$$\mathbf{n}_m^w = 1.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}. \quad (7)$$

Regions where double diffusive processes are important are identified using the double diffusion density ratio calculated at model interfaces:

$$R_r = \frac{\mathbf{a} \frac{\partial \bar{q}}{\partial z}}{\mathbf{b} \frac{\partial \bar{S}}{\partial z}}, \quad (8)$$

where  $\mathbf{a}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$  are the thermodynamic expansion coefficients for temperature and salinity, respectively. For salt fingering (warm, salty water overlying cold, fresh water), salinity/scalar diffusivity is given by

$$\frac{\mathbf{n}_s^d}{\mathbf{n}_f} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{\mathbf{n}_s^d}{\mathbf{n}_f} = \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{R_r - 1}{R_r^0 - 1} \right)^2 \right]^P & 1.0 < R_r < R_r^0 \\ \frac{\mathbf{n}_s^d}{\mathbf{n}_f} = 0 & R_r \geq R_r^0 \end{array} \right\}, \quad (9)$$

and temperature diffusivity is given by

$$\mathbf{n}_q^d = 0.7 \mathbf{n}_s^d, \quad (10)$$

where  $\mathbf{n}_f = 10 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ,  $R_r^0 = 1.9$ , and  $P = 3$ . For diffusive convection, temperature diffusivity is given by

$$\frac{\mathbf{n}_q^d}{\mathbf{n}} = 0.909 \exp \left\{ 4.6 \exp \left[ -0.54 (R_r^{-1} - 1) \right] \right\}, \quad (11)$$

where  $\mathbf{n}$  is the molecular viscosity for temperature, while salinity/scalar diffusivity is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{n}_s^d &= \mathbf{n}_q^d (1.85 - 0.85 R_r^{-1}) R_r & 0.5 \leq R_r \leq 1 \\ \mathbf{n}_s^d &= \mathbf{n}_q^d (0.15 R_r) & R_r < 0.5 \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

## 2. Diagnosis of the Surface Boundary Layer Thickness

The diagnosis of  $h_b$  is based on the bulk Richardson number

$$Ri_b = \frac{(B_r - B) d}{(\bar{\mathbf{v}}_r - \bar{\mathbf{v}})^2 + V_t^2} \quad (13)$$

where  $B$  is buoyancy,  $d$  is depth, the subscript  $r$  denotes reference values, and where the two terms in the denominator represent the influence of resolved vertical shear and unresolved turbulent velocity shear, respectively. Reference values are averaged over the depth range  $ed$ , where  $e = 0.1$ . At depth  $d = h_b$ , the reference depth  $eh_b$  represents the thickness of the surface layer where Monin-Obukhov similarity theory applies. In practice, if model layer 1 is more than 7.5m thick, reference values in (13) are set to those of layer one. Otherwise, averaging is performed over the depth range  $ed$ .

The surface boundary layer thickness (which is distinct from mixed layer thickness) is the depth range over which turbulent boundary layer eddies can penetrate before becoming stable relative to the local buoyancy and velocity. It is estimated as the minimum depth at which  $Ri_b$  exceeds the critical value  $Ri_c = 0.3$ . The Richardson number  $Ri_b$  is estimated in (13) as a layer variable, and thus assumed to represent the Richardson number at the middle depth of each layer. Moving downward from the surface,  $Ri_b$  is calculated for each layer. When the first layer is reached where  $Ri_b > 0.3$ ,  $h_b$  is estimated by linear interpolation between the central depths of that layer and the layer above.

The unresolved turbulent velocity shear in the denominator of (13) is estimated from

$$V_t^2 = \frac{C_s (-\mathbf{b}_r)^{1/2}}{Ri_c \mathbf{k}^2} (c_s \mathbf{e})^{-1/2} dNw_s, \quad (14)$$

where  $C_s$  is a constant between 1 and 2,  $\mathbf{b}_r$  is the ratio of entrainment buoyancy flux to surface buoyancy flux,  $\mathbf{k} = 0.4$  is the von Karman constant, and  $w_s$  is the salinity/scalar turbulent velocity scale. The latter scale is estimated using

$$\begin{aligned} w_s &= \mathbf{k} (a_s u^{*3} + c_s \mathbf{k} \mathbf{s} w^{*3})^{1/3} \rightarrow \mathbf{k} (c_s \mathbf{k} \mathbf{s})^{1/3} w^* \quad \mathbf{s} < \mathbf{e} \\ w_s &= \mathbf{k} (a_s u^{*3} + c_s \mathbf{k} \mathbf{e} w^{*3})^{1/3} \rightarrow \mathbf{k} (c_s \mathbf{k} \mathbf{e})^{1/3} w^* \quad \mathbf{e} \leq \mathbf{s} < 1 \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where  $a_x$  and  $c_x$  are constants,  $w^* = (-B_f/h)^{1/3}$  is the convective velocity scale with  $B_f$  being the surface buoyancy flux, and  $\mathbf{s} = d/h_b$ . Expressions to the right of the arrows represent the convective limit. In HYCOM,  $w_s$  values are stored in a two-dimensional lookup table as functions of  $u^{*3}$  and  $\mathbf{s} w^{*3}$  to reduce calculations. If the surface forcing is stabilizing, the diagnosed value of  $h_b$  is required to be smaller than both the Ekman length scale  $h_E = 0.7u^*/f$  and the Monin-Obukhov length  $L$ .

### 3. Surface Boundary Layer Diffusivity

Surface boundary layer diffusivity/viscosity profiles are calculated at model interfaces and smoothly matched to the interior diffusivities and viscosity. Boundary layer diffusivities and viscosity are parameterized as follows:

$$\overline{w' \mathbf{q}'} = -K_q \left( \frac{\partial \overline{\mathbf{q}}}{\partial z} + \mathbf{g}_q \right), \quad \overline{w' S'} = -K_S \left( \frac{\partial \overline{S}}{\partial z} + \mathbf{g}_S \right), \quad \overline{w' \mathbf{v}'} = -K_m \left( \frac{\partial \overline{\mathbf{v}}}{\partial z} \right), \quad (16)$$

where  $\mathbf{g}_q, \mathbf{g}_S$  are nonlocal transport terms. The diffusivity/viscosity profiles are parameterized as

$$K_q(\mathbf{s}) = h_b w_q(\mathbf{s}) G_q(\mathbf{s}), \quad K_S(\mathbf{s}) = h_b w_S(\mathbf{s}) G_S(\mathbf{s}), \quad K_m(\mathbf{s}) = h_b w_m(\mathbf{s}) G_m(\mathbf{s}), \quad (17)$$

where  $G$  is a smooth shape function represented by a third-order polynomial function

$$G(\mathbf{s}) = a_0 + a_1\mathbf{s} + a_2\mathbf{s}^2 + a_3\mathbf{s}^3 \quad (18)$$

that is determined separately for each model variable. The salinity/scalar velocity scale  $w_s$  is estimated using (15). The potential temperature and momentum velocity scales  $w_q, w_m$  are also estimated from equations analogous to (15), but with the two constants replaced by  $a_q, c_q$  and  $a_m, c_m$ , respectively. Since turbulent eddies do not cross the ocean surface, all  $K$  coefficients are zero there, which requires that  $a_0 = 0$ . The remaining coefficients of the shape function for a given variable are chosen to satisfy requirements of Monin-Obukhov similarity theory, and also to insure that the resulting value and first vertical derivative of the boundary layer  $K$ -profile match the value and first derivative of the interior  $\mathbf{n}$  profile for the same variable calculated using (2) through (7) and (8) through (12).

Application of this procedure is illustrated here for potential temperature only. The matching yields

$$G_q(1) = \frac{\mathbf{n}_q(h_b)}{h_b w_q(1)} \quad (19)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{s}} G_q(1) = -\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \mathbf{n}_q(h_b)}{w_q(1)} - \frac{\mathbf{n}_q(h_b)}{h_b w_q^2(1)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{s}} w_q(1)$$

After determining the coefficients in (18), the  $K$  profile is calculated using

$$K_q = h_b w_q \mathbf{s} [1 + \mathbf{s} G_q(\mathbf{s})], \quad (20)$$

where

$$G_q(\mathbf{s}) = (\mathbf{s} - 2) + (3 - 2\mathbf{s}) G_q(1) + (\mathbf{s} - 1) \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{s}} G_q(1). \quad (21)$$

At model interfaces within the surface boundary layer, the  $K$  profile for potential temperature is provided by (20). At model interfaces below the boundary layer, the  $K$  profile equals the interior diffusivity ( $K_q = \mathbf{n}_q$ ).

The nonlocal flux terms in (16) kick in when the surface forcing is destabilizing. The KPP model parameterizes nonlocal flux only for scalar variables. Although nonlocal fluxes may also be significant for momentum, the form that these fluxes take is presently not known. (Large *et al.*, 1994). The nonlocal fluxes for scalar variables are parameterized as

$$\mathbf{g}_q = 0 \quad \mathbf{g}_s = 0 \quad \mathbf{z} \geq 0$$

$$\mathbf{g}_q = C_s \frac{\overline{w' \mathbf{q}'_0} + \overline{w' \mathbf{q}'_R}}{w_q(\mathbf{s}) h_b} \quad \mathbf{g}_s = \frac{\overline{w' S'_0}}{w_s(\mathbf{s}) h} \quad \mathbf{z} < 0 \quad (22)$$

where  $\mathbf{z}$  is a stability parameter equal to  $d/L$  and  $L$  is the Monin-Obukhov length. The terms  $\overline{w' \mathbf{q}'_0}$  and  $\overline{w' S'_0}$  are surface fluxes while the term  $\overline{w' \mathbf{q}'_R}$  is the contribution of penetrating shortwave radiation.

#### 4. Implementation

Given the  $K$  profiles for  $T$ ,  $S$ , and momentum at the pressure grid points, the one-dimensional vertical diffusion equation is solved at each grid point by formulating a matrix equation and inverting a tri-diagonal matrix (Appendix C). After solving the equation for all variables at the pressure grid points (including velocity components interpolated from the momentum grid points), the KPP procedure is repeated beginning with equation (1) using the new profiles of all variables. The user can choose how many of these iterations are performed. In practice, two iterations are generally found to be adequate. Mixed layer thickness is diagnosed at the pressure grid points based through vertical interpolation to the depth where density exceeds the surface layer density by a prescribed amount.

After completing the mixing at pressure grid points, mixing is performed at the momentum grid points. Instead of repeating the entire KPP procedure, the  $K_m$  profiles estimated at the pressure grid points during the final iteration is horizontally interpolated to the  $u$  and  $v$  grid points, then the vertical diffusion equation is solved.

## REFERENCES

- Large, W. G., J. C. McWilliams, and S. C. Doney, 1994: Oceanic vertical mixing: a review and a model with a nonlocal boundary layer parameterization. *Rev. Geophys.*, **32**, 363-403.
- Peters, H., M. C. Gregg, and J. M. Toole, 1988: On the parameterization of equatorial turbulence. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **93**, 1199-1218.